



Gender-Based Violence: Impediment to Reproductive Health

Gender-based violence has gained prominence around the world as a grave violation of human and legal rights. But it is equally important that it be recognized, and addressed, as a prime barrier to reproductive health—a barrier that prevents women, families, and countries from achieving their full potential. This brief presents background on the frequency of gender-based violence and outlines the ways in which it is an impediment to health passed on from generation to generation.

What Is Gender-Based Violence?

Gender-based violence (GBV) is "violence involving men and women, in which the female is usually the victim; and which is derived from unequal power relationships between men and women. Violence is directed specifically against a woman because she is a woman, or affects women disproportionately."¹

GBV occurs in many forms, including but not limited to intimate partner violence (IPV), domestic violence, sexual violence, and femicide or the killing of women because of their gender by males. The frequency and severity of GBV varies across countries and continents, but the negative impact it has on individuals and on families is universal and has direct links to health problems.

Magnitude of GBV

Research conducted in the last decade has shown that GBV is a pervasive public health problem that has implications for health policies and programs around the world.² A 2005 multi-country study by the World Health Organization (WHO), with data from 10 countries and 15 sites, found that "[t]he proportion of ever-partnered women who had ever experienced physical or sexual violence, or both, by an intimate partner in their lifetime, ranged from 15% to 71%." In four countries–Bangladesh, Ethiopia, Peru, and Tanzania—at least half of women interviewed had ever experienced physical or sexual violence.³

A multi-country Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) report on domestic violence found that more than 40 percent of women in Bolivia, Cameroon, Columbia, Kenya, Peru, and Zambia had ever experienced violence by a spouse or partner.⁴ Recent DHS data from Uganda and the Democratic Republic of Congo reveal that 56 percent of young women ages 15 to 19 have experienced physical violence.⁵ The sheer magnitude of the numbers alone makes it a public health problem. Pregnant women have been found to be at high risk of physical abuse. Earlier studies estimated that 4 to 15 percent of pregnant women have experienced violence,⁶ and the 2005 WHO multi-country study found that an astonishing one out of every four women in rural Peru had experienced GBV while pregnant.⁷

Consequences of GBV on Reproductive Health

Without addressing GBV, health experts and policymakers have little chance of meeting the Millennium Development Goals, which call for lowering maternal mortality, improving child survival, and combating HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs), or of meeting the underlying goal of reducing unintended pregnancies.

Unintended pregnancy. Women who experience IPV have difficulty using family planning effectively. They are more likely to use contraceptive methods in secret, be stopped by their abusive partner from using family planning, and have a partner who refuses to use a condom. These women also experience a higher rate of unintended pregnancies, have more unsafe abortions, and are more likely to become pregnant as adolescents.⁸

Maternal and child impacts. Abuse during pregnancy poses immediate risks to the mother and unborn child, and also increases chronic problems such as depression, substance abuse, bleeding, lack of access to prenatal care, and poor maternal weight gain.⁹ Children of abused women have a higher risk of death before reaching age five¹⁰ and violence during pregnancy is associated with low birth weight of babies.¹¹

STIs/HIV. Forced and unprotected sex and related trauma increase the risk that women will be infected by STIs and HIV. According to DHS data, the prevalence of STIs

among women who have experienced violence is at least twice as high as in women who have not.¹² Recent data have shown a strong correlation also between GBV and HIV. A 2008 report on married women in India reveals that women who have experienced both physical and sexual IPV have an HIV infection prevalence four times greater than nonabused women.¹³ A new study from South Africa shows that "relationship power inequity and intimate partner violence increase the risk of HIV infection in young South African women."¹⁴ And in Tanzania young women, ages 18-29, who have been abused by a partner have been found to be 10 times more likely to be HIV-positive than women who have not been abused.¹⁵ ing perpetrators to trial. The indirect costs include days of work lost (by both the abused and the abuser), as well as the emotional cost in human pain and suffering by the victims, and impacts on other family members, especially children. Studies on the cost of violence have been conducted mainly in developed countries:

- The cost of violence by an intimate partner in the United States exceeds \$5.8 billion per year—\$4.1 billion for direct medical and health care services, plus productivity losses of nearly \$1.8 billion;¹⁷
- A UK study has estimated total direct and indirect costs of all domestic violence at £23 billion per year or £440 per person.¹⁸

This brief was produced by the Population Reference Bureau for the Interagency Gender Working Group (IGWG), with funding provided by USAID under the BRIDGE Project (Cooperative Agreement GPO-A-00-03-00004-00).

Cost of GBV

According to a 2009 World Bank publication, *The Costs of Violence*, most estimates on the cost to society of GBV have focused on domestic violence.¹⁶ Some of the direct costs include treatment and support for abused women, and bring-

Endnotes

¹ United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) Gender Theme Group, 1998.

²L. Heise, M. Ellsberg, and M. Gottemoeller, *Ending Violence Against Women*, 1999; and A. Guedes, *Addressing Gender-Based Violence through USAID's Health Programs (2nd Edition)*, IGWG, 2008.

³WHO Multi-Country Study on Women's Health and Domestic Violence, 2005; Accessed online May 1, 2010 at www.who.int/gender/ violence/who_multicountry_study/en/

⁴S. Kishor and K. Johnson, *Profiling Domestic Violence—A Multi-Country Study*, ORC Macro, 2004.

⁵ DHS Data for Uganda, 2006 and for Democratic Republic of the Congo, 2007.

⁶J. Campbell, "Health Consequences of Intimate Partner Violence," *Lancet*, 2002; R. Jewkes et al., "Relationship Dynamics and Adolescent Pregnancy in South Africa," *Social Science & Medicine*, 2001; and N. Muhajarine and C. D'Arcy, "Physical Abuse During Pregnancy," *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 1999. WHO, *Multi-Country Study on Women's Health and Domestic Violence*, ch. 4, 2005.

⁷WHO, *Multi-Country Study on Women's Health and Domestic Violence*, ch. 4, 2005.

⁸C. Garcia-Moreno, "Dilemmas and Opportunities for an Appropriate Health-Service Response to Violence Against Women," *Lancet*, 2002; Campbell, 2002; and Heise, Ellsberg, and Gottemoeller, 1999.

⁹J. Campbell, C. Garcia-Moreno, and P. Sharps, "Abuse During Pregnancy in Industrialized and Developing Countries," *Violence Against Women*, 2004.

¹⁰ K. Asling-Monemi, R. Peña, M. Ellsberg, and L. Persson, "Violence Against Women Increases the Risk of Infant and Child Mortality: A Case Study in Nicaragua," *The Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 2003. ¹¹ E. Valladares et al., "Physical Partner Abuse During Pregnancy: A Risk Factor for Low Birth Weight in Nicaragua," *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 100, issue 4, Oct. 2002.

¹² Kishor and Johnson, 2004.

¹³ J.G. Silverman, M. Decker, N. Saggurti, D. Balaiah, and A. Raj,
"Intimate Partner Violence and HIV Infection Among Married Indian Women," *JAMA* 2008; 300 (6): 703-710.

¹⁴ R. Jewkes, K. Dunkle, M. Nduna, and N. Shai, "Intimate Partner Violence, Relationship Gender Power Inequity, and Incidence of HIV Infection in Young Women in South Africa: A Cohort Study," in *The Lancet* (in press).

¹⁵S. Maman et al., HIV and Partner Violence, Population Council, 2002.

¹⁶ World Bank, *The Costs of Violence*, Washington, DC: 2009.

¹⁷ CDC, Costs of Intimate Partner Violence Against Women in the United States, Atlanta, GA: 2003.

¹⁸ S. Walby, *The Cost of Domestic Violence*, Women & Equality Unit, 2004, accessed online May 1, 2010, at www.womenandequalityunit.gov. uk/research/cost_of_dv_Report_sept04.pdf

Other Resources

IGWG, Addressing Gender-Based Violence Through USAID's Health Programs: A Guide for Health Sector Program Officers (second edition), 2008;

UNFPA, Preventing Violence: Ending Violence Against Women: Programming For Prevention, Protection and Care, 2006;

WHO, A Guide to Implementing the Recommendations of the World Report on Violence and Health, 2004;

WHO, Preventing Violence and Reducing Its Impact: How Development Agencies Can Help, 2008.

©2010 Population Reference Bureau. All rights reserved.